** For a serious serio

May 15, 1958.

Professor Ferrater More an ad ille a wit tand accd I. All Department of Philospphy a not now assend makes as I villance Bryn Mawr Gollege and as tall vidgosoling a agoing to adosque Bryn Mawr, Pa. - autono evast lines I like and all beganants additional fine account in the second and beganants and the account in the second and account and account to the second and account to the second and account to the second acc

Dear Professor Ferrater Mora,

My colleague Dr. Jonas told me of your kind interest in my essay on Max Scheler published in recent issues of the Revue of Metaphysics. Please find here enclosed a reprint.

This is not my first article on Scheler. A paper on "Scheler's Theory of Intersubjectivity and the General Thesis of the Alter Ego" appeared in Philosophy and Phenomenological Research, Vol. II, March 1942 pp 323-347. Unfortunately I have no reprints left of this paper. Moreover, I contributed an article on Scheler to the Volume "Les philosophes celèbres", edited by Prof. Merleau-Fonty, Paris 1956. This article is merely a presentation of some of this Philosopher's main ideas for the interested layman. No reprints were put at my disposal.

It happened that I received your book on Ortega Y Gasset from Yale University Press the same day at which Dr. Jonas brought me your message. I had ordered this book after having read it in our library because I want to study it more closely following up your excellent presentation with the help of the German four volume edition of Ortega's collected works. My self-tought reading knowledge of the Spanish language is highly unsatisfactory.

I am especially interested in the postnumous book of Ortega "Man and People" in which he deals with the problem of the philosophical foundation of Sociology. I was pleased and honored to see that Ortega refers several times to a book I published on this topic in German in 1932, although it seems that he does not agree with my main thesis which is based on Husserl. I was still more pleased to see that Ortega criticizes Husserl's Fifth Cartesian Meditation by using the same arguments I proposed in a paper presented to a meeting of phenomenologists at Royaumont, April 1957, at a time at which I had no knowledge of Ortega's book which I read in the English translation published last Fall. Assuming that you read German and that this topic will interest you I am enclosing also a reprint of this paper meanwhile pablished by the Philosophische Rundschau.

I was delighted to learn from Ar. Jonas that you have known my dear late friend Faul landsberg with whom I was in close contact during his Faris years up to July 1939 when I left for the United States. You might be interested to learn that Mrs. Landsberg passed away after having suffered another nervous break-down.

I hope that there will be an opportunity to meet you personally. I am eager to ask you for advice how to interpret certain aspects of Ortegs's philosophy. Let me hope that such a meeting can be arranged in the Fall. I shall leave on June 2md for Europe and it is my intention to attend the International Philosophical Congress in Venice in Spytember. Perhaps you plan to be there also.

Dear inclessor Serrater Lora,

My colleague Dr. Jonas told me of your kind interest in my easay on Max Scholar published in recent tasues of the Revue of Metaphysics. Pisas find here analosed a regrint. aruov ylersonis yrav

"Soneler's Theory which was an interest the state of the

It has peried that I received your book on writing Y Gasact from Yale University Press the same day at which in. Jones brought your reseases. I find ordered this book after the whing read it in our your substant peries to study it more observed failuring up your anothers presentation with the self of the fair to driven you guest actions presentation with the self of the fair to the fair would would be on the Familian leaves with the fair which redding the workers of the Familiah leaves with the fair when the fair with the continuous to the familian leaves.

I am capecially inserested in the postnumous blok of Criege 'Aan and Earle' in abid the forls with its probles of the philosophical foundation of worldow, I as a deared and and in philosophical foundation of worldow, I as a deared and in this copic in German in 1912, a shought it seams that he down not agree topic in a series and the season with a section of the season in the second of the season with a section of the season in the season in a second with increasing the second of the season and the season of the se