

THE JOURNAL OF SYMBOLIC LOGIC

ALONZO CHURCH, EDITOR
FINE HALL
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

29th November 1966.

Professor Jose Ferrater Mora
Department of Philosophy
Bryn Mawr College
Bryn Mawr, Pa.

Dear Professor Ferrater Mora,

Would you be willing to review the volume The philosophy of Rudolf Carnap (edited by Paul Arthur Schilpp) for the Journal? Each separate essay of relevance to the Journal would be listed at the head of the review, as on the attached sheets of paper, and we'd ask you to review the book only for these relevant essays. We'd like to have reviewed at the same time the four papers by Quine and the papers by Goodman and Lewis that I have listed following the headings for the Carnap volume.

This assignment is one whose review is long overdue, and we'd be most grateful for your help in taking care of it. The Journal has a backlog of unreviewed works that dates from the fifties, when its working arrangements were not adequate to keep up with the vast increase in the number of publications in the field of mathematical logic. This backlog is now being dealt with as fast as possible without passing over any work that deserves review, no matter what its date of publication; and of course the early date of a publication is in no way a slur on its reviewer.

The review of the Carnap book should not be longer than 1,000 words. The maximum length for the review of Lewis would be 500 words, and 250 words for the reviews of Goodman and each of the Quine papers. If you wished to write one long review, dealing with the Quine papers, Goodman, and Lewis at the same time as the Carnap volume, the total length should not exceed the sum of the separate maximum lengths I have given.

We usually ask for completion of reviews within three months, but because of the length of this assignment, we'd be willing to allow an extension of time if necessary.

Sincerely yours,

Anita Bergh.

(Mrs.) Anita Bergh
Ed. assistant

3.12.66.