Nov. 24

Dear José Ferrater Mora:

Thank you for your setter. I would indeed like to have some of your books, but then I probably ought to buy them. Than would be more useful, and would be doing something promote the sale of books of philosophy. However, if you insist, I would love to have a copy of Investigaciones sobre el lenguaje and Las palabras y los hombres.

I plan to try once or twice more in English with a somewhat improved version of my book on Ortega. I will probably try Macmillan and then a university press.

Ciriaco Morón Arroyo gave me several (many) suggestions and I have incorporated most of them.

While I don't want to publish a use-less book, I still feel that there are several things in my <u>Ortega</u> that have not been said before, and I want to publish it.

Here I am gracelessly fishing for some comment from you about the book, while all the time you have probably already given it (i.e.: "but then these may be reasonable doubts about their quality")

My book was written for Hispanists as an effort to contextualize Ortega's coming-to-be as a philosopher. It ought to be useful to Hispanists, as I was, about Ortega's possible influence on his non-philosopher contemporaries. It was this especially that got me "hooked" on this project. I am now working on a study of Salinas, Guillén, et al. with Ortega as a ground. I will be offering a new view of the so-called Generation of 1927, one which I think Juan Marichal now shares, although I imagine I have somewhat more interest in the matter as literary critics than he has.

Because I am interested in Ortega as a ground for this new work (<u>Los amigos de</u> <u>mirar</u>), I wanted to show how Ortega's maturity ideas could have arrived by 1911-1914, and have been available, in Madrid at least, when Salinas, say, began writing poetry. In spite of Marías encyclopedic <u>Ortega</u>:<u>Circunstancia y vocación</u>, I, I did not think another, less Ortegean book on the same period would be beside this point. Hence my <u>Necessary Fictions</u>.

If you would be writing to offer some suggestions to improve my MS. with <u>these</u> purposes + goals in mind, I'd certainly drop down to Phila. to discuss it with you. Or any thing else for that matter.

A question of some importance. Have you read Félix Martínez Bonati's <u>La estructura</u> <u>de la obra literaria</u>, and how good do you think it is? The way criticizers + theory are "selling" these days, I thought I might make it my next long term translation project, unless, of course, you have any better suggestions.

Best wishes, [signatura]