

June 10, 1971

Dear Mr. Ferrata-Mora,

I received my paper about a week ago in the mail and I have been thinking about your comments on it. I agree with most of the criticisms, but I am not at all "puzzled" by the qualities and flaws of the paper. I knew when I turned it in that I either ought to spend another 20 or so hours polishing and refining it or that I ought to have spent about 30 hours less on the paper. I have this problem frequently. I will choose too broad a topic and then discover that I really don't have the time to refine that long and encompassing a paper, but I am unwilling to give up the topic because it would be such a good paper if I did have the time. I end up with a botched paper. My paper would undoubtedly benefit from rewriting but I have my reservations about how much I would benefit from rewriting the paper. I have thought about it and thought about the kind of changes that need to be made. I don't really think that I would benefit enough from the process of rewriting it to make the job worth the time and effort. Thank you,

however, for taking the time that you did to criticise the paper.
I read through your comments carefully and then reread the paper
keeping your comments in mind and, as I said earlier, I agreed with
most of what you said. In any case, they were most helpful in
deciding what I would do if I were rewriting it.

Thanks again,

Cathy Ridgway
Cathy Ridgway